

**Proposed Agenda for Kuala Lumpur and Jakarta
(10th September & 14th September, 2015)
Physical Public Consultation Event on
RSPO Remediation and Compensation Procedures
Related to Land Clearance without Prior HCV Assessment**

The physical consultation will provide an opportunity for stakeholders to understand the procedures in a face-to-face environment and possible implications related to them. The meetings will also seek constructive feedback in order to improve the overall logic and guidance given in the procedures.

One of the values of a face-to face consultation is to allow space for stakeholders to converse with each other and generate genuine suggestions for strengthening the procedures. During these face-to-face meetings the consultation team will be able to distil patterns of key issues among and between stakeholder groupings that can be fed back to the Compensation Task Force for further attention during the final revisions of the document. The physical consultation will not be seeking detailed comments on text but participants will be encouraged to submit this through the online mechanism.

Specific Objectives

- To improve public understanding on the contents of the procedures and its implications for those affected
- To generate agreement on the feasibility and suitability of the draft compensation procedures amongst stakeholders
- To seek constructive feedback to improve the document including specific input from regions, including the key questions proposed by CTF for consideration

Time	Objectives
Welcome and Objectives 9.00 - 9.30	Overview of Process and Introductions
Presentation on RSPO Remediation and Compensation Procedures Related to Land Clearance without Prior HCV Assessment (Section 1-8 including important explanatory notes) 9.30 – 10.15	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • To provide the key background and overview of section 1-8 focusing on calculating conservation liability • To provide an opportunity for key clarification questions (not specific comments) (15 minutes)
10.15 – 10.30	Coffee Break

Time	Objectives
Multi-stakeholder Round Table Feedback on Section 1-8 (group work and plenary) 10.30 – 12.15	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • To provide an opportunity to discuss the procedures in a multi-stakeholder group (section 1-8) • To identify key areas of the procedures which may not be clear in the document • To identify key areas of concern aligned with constructive suggestions for improvement
12.15-1.15 Lunch	
Presentations on RSPO Remediation and Compensation Procedures Related to Land Clearance without Prior HCV Assessment (Section 9-16 including important explanatory notes) 1.15 - 2.00	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • To provide the key background and overview of section 9-16 (including social liability and options to meet conservation liability) • To provide an opportunity for key clarification questions (not specific comments) (15 mins)
Multi-stakeholder Round Table Feedback (2) on Section 9-16 2.00-3.30	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • To provide an opportunity to discuss the procedures in a multi-stakeholder group (section 9-16) • To identify key areas of the procedures which may not be clear in the document • To identify key areas of concern aligned with constructive suggestions for improvement
Wrap Up and Individual Feedback 3.30-4.00	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • To distill the key issues emerging from this particular physical PC • To explain next steps • To provide an opportunity for individual comments and feedback

Please note there are some questions that the CTF has also posed for public consultation that will be raised and discussed according to the relevant section. These are as follows and can be found in the draft procedures and the explanatory notes.

- *How appropriate, effective and feasible will it be to request companies to submit top management-approved SOPs as evidence that they have taken steps to avoid new non-compliant clearing? If not appropriate, what could be alternative sources of evidence?*
- *Should a summary of the findings of the Land Use Change Analysis, once accepted by RSPO, be made public or kept confidential between members and the RSPO? Why?*

- *How appropriate is it to offer a US\$ option for meeting conservation liability and if the value proposed is not appropriate what method and/or data could be used to help the CTF develop a more robust value?*
- *In order to fulfil the criteria of "long-lasting" to meet conservation liability, in the case that the concession changes ownership, which company should take responsibility for the ongoing compensation conservation project: the incoming company or the outgoing company with the original liability?*